BVDW: Clarifying notes on the use of web analysis services

On November 14, 2019, a number of state data protection authorities issued press releases on requirements for the legally compliant use of analysis services such as Google Analytics. Some statements on the responsibility under the current data protection law as well as the relevant legal basis - in particular the consent requirement - have led to misunderstandings and uncertainty among website operators regarding the possible uses of web analysis tools.

In the view of the BVDW, basic web analytics tools offered to the market today can still be provided by third parties acting as processors. The fact that website publishers can (theoretically) also add other products and services provided by the analytics provider does not change this. Only where website publishers make actual use of additional products and services, deviating responsibility scenarios - usually described in the terms and conditions of the analytics provider – may arise. Further data processing may require a different legal basis, but the combined use of different products or services does not automatically lead to overall different responsibilities under data protection law.

With this overview and concrete examples of relevant analytics services, BVDW aims to provide website operators with relevant information to help them make the correct assessment.

The overview will continuously be supplemented with information on other analytics providers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product/Service</th>
<th>Controllership</th>
<th>Legal Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>The notes on data protection responsibility refer to the processing scenarios shown in the respective lines. The combination of products does not lead to different responsibilities for the respective product. Individual processing scenarios and corresponding responsibilities may therefore be relevant in parallel.</td>
<td>Legal basis applicable to individual controller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2. „Local“-Processing (Inhouse) | Publisher: always controller | Depending on individual processing  
Art. 6 (1) f GDPR  
Art. 6 (1) a GDPR |
| • e.g. Matomo Host On-Premise | | |
| 3. Third Party Processing (Cloud-based) | Publisher: Controller  
Service-Provider: Processor | Depending on individual processing  
Art. 6 (1) f GDPR  
Art. 6 (1) a GDPR |
| • e.g. Matomo Cloud Host | | |
| 4. Basic functionalities for publishers | Publisher: Controller  
Service-Provider: Processor | Depending on individual processing  
Art. 6 (1) f GDPR  
Art. 6 (1) a GDPR |
| • e.g. Basic Google Analytics (without activation of further features) | | |
| 5. Basic functionalities for publishers | Publisher: Controller  
Service-Provider: Processor | Depending on individual processing  
Art. 6 (1) f GDPR  
Art. 6 (1) a GDPR |
| • e.g. Adobe Analytics (Cloud based)  
• z.B. Adobe Target (Cloud based) | | |
| 6. Data sharing for own products of the analysis provider | Publisher: Controller for Analytics Data  
Service-Provider: Processor for Publishers Analytics-Data  
Controller for own processing of Analytics Data | Art. 6 (1) a GDPR  
• Note: Consent for e.g. Google products required under EU ECP via the measurement controller/controller terms |
| • e.g Google Products & Services | | |

Note: This presentation contains non-binding and general information and makes no claim to completeness. References to technical or legal topics reflect the view of the BVDW and do not replace further advice in individual cases. The reader is solely responsible for using the information for his or her own purposes. No liability is assumed for contents. A legal examination of the individual process is indispensable, so please have a lawyer or your data protection officer check whether your processes meet the legal requirements. All rights to this publication are held by the BVDW.